SMART BIGGAR

The Canadian Difference.

Litigation, Oppositions and Non-Use Cancellation Proceedings: Effective strategies to protect and defend your marks in Canada

Speakers: Mark Evans, Noelle Engle-Hardy

June 10, 2021



1

Our Speakers





Mark Evans
Partner,
Barrister & Solicitor
Trademark and Patent Agent

- Canada's Trademark Litigator of Year (Benchmark Litigation 2014 & 2015)
- Canada's Outstanding Trademark Practitioner (MIP Awards 2020)
- Ranked "Gold Tier" for Trademarks in both Litigation/Enforcement and Prosecution/Strategy (WTR 1000 2011-2021)



Noelle Engle-Hardy Senior Associate, Barrister & Solicitor Trademark Agent

- Rising Star in Trademarks (Expert Guides: 2020)
- IP Rising Star Finalist (LMG Women in Business Law Awards 2021)

SMART BIGGAR

Who we are



Canada's leading IP law firm

- · Expertise in all areas of IP
- 130+ years serving clients
- 100+ lawyers, agents and technical consultants
- 8,000+ patents & trademarks filed annually



SMART & BIGGAR

3

Top ranked for trademarks & enforcement



- Canada's IP Litigation
 Firm of the Year
 Benchmark Litigation
 seven years in a row 2015-2021
- Canada's Trademark Contentious Firm of Year
 Managing IP – Americas Awards
 Awarded in 2014-2017, 2020
- Canada's IP Boutique
 Firm of the Year
 Managing IP Americas Awards
 Awarded in 2014,2016, 2020 & 2021
- Tier One for Trademarks
 Contentious & Prosecution
 Managing IP IP Stars
 since inception 2014-2021
- Gold Tier for Trademarks

 12 leading trademark practitioners
 World Trademark Review 1000
 since inception 2011-2021
- Band 1 for IP in Canada Chambers & Partners Global and Canada Guides, since inception 2010-2021

SMART BIGGAR

Defending & protecting your marks in Canada









Canadian Non-use Cancellation / s.45 Proceedings

SMART& BIGGAR

Canadian Trademark Litigation







SMART BIGGAR

Primary Causes of Action in Canada Trademark Infringement Passing off Dilution / Depreciation of Goodwill Invalidation of Registered Trademarks SMART & BIGGAR

Canada's Federal Court Venue for vast Nationwide majority of IP Bilingual court jurisdiction litigation Appointed / No circuits or No single judge not-elected, districts (very assigned to case knowledgeable and limited forum or lawsuit experienced judges shopping) **SMART BIGGAR**

8

"Actions" / Statement of Claim



Traditional and most common procedure

Time to get to trial (Pampered Chef litigation)

Obligation for an affidavit that lists all relevant documents

Examinations limited in number / scope – single representative (similar to 30(b)(6))

Agreed Statement of facts / issues

Fact witnesses

Survey and expert witnesses

SMART & BIGGAR

ç

Surveys and expert witnesses







Diageo Canada v Heaven Hill Distilleries et al.

SMART BIGGAR

Pre-trial Remedies



Interim and Interlocutory Injunctions (TRO and preliminary)

Anton Piller (civil search and seizure) Summary trial (summary judgment)

SMART& BIGGAR

11

Trial Remedies



Nationwide injunction (benefit of registering mark; irreparable harm not required; commercial activity not required where defendant located)

Costs awards

(loser pays, typically 25-33%)

Destruction / delivery up

Damages or profits + interest

SMART BIGGAR

Applications: Procedure



- Options now available for faster, cheaper trademark litigation
- Proceed by "Application"
- Procedure
 - Affidavits and cross-examinations
 - No broad pre-trial depositions
 - No live witnesses at trial

SMART & BIGGAR

13

Applications: Duration



- Options now available for faster, cheaper trademark litigation
- Proceed by "Application"
- How fast?
 - 6-9 months to trial (*Nintendo*)
 - Likely 1-2 day trial rather than 1-2 week trial

SMART BIGGAR

Applications: Cost



- Options now available for faster, cheaper trademark litigation
- Proceed by "Application"
- How cheap?
 - Likely reduce fees by 50-75%

SMART & BIGGAR

15

Applications: Risks



- Options now available for faster, cheaper trademark litigation
- Proceed by "Application"
- Risks?
 - Pre-trial deposition needed uncover additional facts / obtain admissions
 - Persuasive value of live witnesses at trial

SMART & BIGGAR



Canadian Opposition Proceedings







SMART& BIGGAR

17

Trademark Oppositions



All approved applications advertised for oppositions *before* registration

Increased importance under amended *TM Act* (i.e. troll filings / absence of use)

SMART BIGGAR

Trademark Oppositions



Grounds of Opposition

- Confusion (registered and unregistered marks)
- Not registrable (descriptive, name / surname)
- Not distinctive
- Incorrect filing basis (for applications advertised before June 17, 2019)
- Bad Faith Filing

Onus

- Initial evidentiary burden on opponent
- Legal burden on applicant, on balance of probabilities, that mark is registrable

SMART& BIGGAR

19

Trademark Oppositions: Procedure



Statement of opposition

/counterstatement

Opponent's evidence

(subject to crossexamination) Applicant's evidence

(subject to crossexamination) Opponent's reply evidence

(subject to crossexamination)

Written argument (optional)

Oral hearing (optional)

Right to appeal to Federal Court (with new evidence)

SMART BIGGAR

Trademark Oppositions: Evidentiary Issues



- Material dates
- Investigations to determine which party has priority
- Proving state of marketplace
- Overcoming irrelevance of foreign activities
- Virtual / remote cross-examinations
- No costs awards (presently)

SMART BIGGAR

21

Trademark Oppositions



Changes (When?)

Budget Implementation Act 2018, c.27, s.227

- Costs awards
- Case management
- Confidentiality of documents
- Additional evidence can only be filed on appeal with leave from Federal Court

SMART BIGGAR



Canadian Non-use Cancellation / s.45 Proceedings







SMART& BIGGAR

23

s.45 Non-Use Cancellation Proceedings



Where / How / When

- Extremely simple
- Registration at least three years old
- File request with **TMO** (no need for due diligence before initiating)
- Can file anonymously (i.e. Requesting Party = Smart & Biggar)

SMART BIGGAR

s.45 Non-Use Cancellation Proceedings



- Low threshold to maintain/cancelling "deadwood"
- Single sale in normal course of trade during previous 3-year period is sufficient
- But evidence of use needs to be established / ambiguities to be avoided (material variant?)
- Increased need with troll filings and absence of use to register

SMART_& BIGGAR

25

s.45 Non-Use Cancellation Proceedings



Evidence only by or on behalf of Registered Owner Requesting party cannot file evidence

No crossexaminations in TMO

Written Arguments (optional)

Oral Hearing (optional)

Right to appeal to Federal Court (with new evidence). But, standard of review...

SMART BIGGAR

s.45 Non-Use Cancellation Proceedings



Changes (when?)

Budget implementation Act 2018, c.27, s.227

- Costs awards
- Case management
- Confidentiality of documents
- Additional evidence can only be filed on appeal with leave from Federal Court

SMART_& BIGGAR

27

s.45 Non-Use Cancellation v. FC

Expungement of Registration



Federal Court Expungement

- Procedure
 - Can be brought as standalone proceeding or as counterclaim to infringement proceeding
- Grounds
 - Invalidity of registration (abandonment, confusion, descriptiveness, etc.)

- Why you should oppose instead ...
 - Burden on party seeking to invalidate registration
- Both parties can file evidence and cross-examine on adverse party's evidence
- Costs awards

SMART BIGGAR

