

# CLOUDFICIENT

VERSUS

# MANUAL

## COMPARING TWO MIGRATION METHODS

### *Benefits of Using The Cloudficient Managed Service*

#### **Kickoff**

Our first step is to conduct series of workshops to analyze and review the project scope, goals, and desired business processes.

We then map that analysis and design a tailored, automated solution, that aligns to the project.

#### **Deploy & Test**

We will then deploy the cloud tenant and install the necessary on-premise components.

End to End tests will be performed to ensure the correct permissions, connectivity and workflow steps.

#### **Pilot & Optimize**

From there, we test the solution with a pilot group to ensure all steps are completed for each user in the correct sequence.

The pilot stage findings are then reviewed and the configuration optimized.

#### **Ramp up & Execution**

Moving into a production state, Cloudficient migration technology is continuously loaded with more and more users to maximize the overall performance.

Cloudficient migration technology orchestrates and automates the entire workflow.

#### **Wrap Up**

After the migration is finished, we will perform a final review to ensure we have met the project goals.

The last step is to decommission the cloud tenant and assist in removing the on-premise components.

### *Limitations and Challenges of Migrating Manually*

#### **Scalability**

While it is relatively straight forward to migrate 1 user, 10 users, or maybe even 50 users.

Managing onboarding tasks and archive migrations for 1000s of users quickly becomes overwhelming, cumbersome, and prone to error.

#### **Performance**

Using native tools puts the responsibility of keeping the project on track with the individuals executing these tools.

How do you keep the migration velocity consistent?

How do you ensure you are not affecting production operations?

#### **Human Error**

Attempting to manage onboarding tasks and archive migrations with native tools, scripts, and spreadsheets will introduce risk.

Many manual steps will need to be orchestrated in the correct order. Tracking this is difficult; steps will be missed.

#### **ROI/Cost**

How much will the migration cost in man hours for a company if they migrate at their pace using native tools?

If paying \$20 per user per month for an E3 license but not fully utilizing it, how much money is being wasted?

Native Tools will require on-premise hardware to maintain performance levels and one or many FTEs to manage, which often delays other high-value IT-related projects

#### **Project Governance**

Who has been migrated and when?  
What was the status of each step?  
What failures occurred and why?

Audit records of a migration are important to ensure your onboarding process has met the goals of the project. Native Tools require manual governance.