

ASPIRE HOUSING

SELF ASSESSMENT AGAINST THE COMPLAINT HANDLING CODE OCTOBER 2020

The Housing Ombudsman published a new Complaints Handling Code in July 2020 that sets out good practice that will allow landlords to respond to complaints effectively and fairly. A key requirement of the code is that all landlords are required to submit a self-assessment against their key complaint handling principles.

This is Aspire Housing's first self-assessment. It has been completed by an internal complaints panel, led by the Executive Director of Customer Experience and has been shared with Aspire's new customer group OASIS and the Aspire Housing Board. All data refers to 2019/20.

The full Complaint Handling Code can be found here.

| 1. Definition of a complaint | Yes/No | Notes |
|--|--------|---|
| Does the complaints process use the following definition of a complaint? An expression of dissatisfaction, however made, about the standard of service, actions or lack of action by the organisation, its own staff, or those acting on its behalf, affecting an individual resident or group of residents. | Yes | As set out within the Customer Feedback Policy |
| Does the policy have exclusions where a complaint will not be considered? | Yes | These include complaints that are no longer appropriate, such as historic, already dealt with, part of ongoing legal action, and relating to anti-social behaviour. |
| Are these exclusions reasonable and fair to residents? | Yes | These relate to circumstances where complaints have already been dealt with or have alternative routes of resolution. |







| 2. Accessibility | Yes/No | Notes |
|--|--------|---|
| Are multiple accessibility routes available for residents to make a complaint? | Yes | Customers can make a complaint through any contact method |
| Is the complaints policy and procedure available online? | Yes | Website <u>link</u> |
| Do you have a reasonable adjustments policy? | Yes | Included within the 'Equalities Impact Assessment' section and references the Equality & Diversity Policy |
| Do we regularly advise residents about our complaints process? | Yes | The customer communications plan has been strengthened to add more occasions where the process is available. Examples include the Customer Annual Report, Annual Rent Statement (due March), and on relevant letter templates. Customers are also invited to give feedback on the website which has been improved to add more links to contact us from other pages. |
| 3. Complaints team and process | Yes/No | Notes |
| Is there a complaint officer or equivalent in post? | Yes | Head of Customer Services |
| Does the complaint officer have autonomy to resolve complaints? | Yes | |
| Does the complaint officer have authority to compel engagement from other departments to resolve disputes? | Yes | |
| If there is a third stage to the complaints procedure are residents involved in the decision making? | | N/A - there is a two-stage process before escalation to the Housing Ombudsman Service (HOS) |
| Is any third stage optional for residents? | No | |



realise



| 3. Complaints team and process (cont'd) | Yes/No | Notes |
|--|--------|---|
| Does the final stage response set out residents' right to refer the matter to the Housing Ombudsman Service? | Yes | Included within the response letter template |
| Do we keep a record of complaint correspondence including correspondence from the resident? | Yes | Stored on the integrated housing management system, called Orchard |
| At what stage are most complaints resolved? | | 97.6% of all complaints were resolved at stage one in 2019/20 |
| 4. Communication | Yes/No | Notes |
| Are residents kept informed and updated during the complaints process? | Yes | This is explicit within the Customer Feedback Policy and a key element of the complaints handling process, training, and quality assurance checks |
| Are residents informed of the landlord's position and given a chance to respond and challenge any area of dispute before the final decision? | Yes | Customers have a right to appeal at the end of stage one |
| Are all complaints acknowledged and logged within five days? | Yes | Customers are provided with a reference number as evidence |
| Are residents advised of how to escalate at the end of each stage? | Yes | Included within the response letter template |
| What proportion of complaints are resolved at stage one? | | 97.6% in 2019/20 |
| What proportion of complaints are resolved at stage two? | | 100% - no formal investigations were made by the HOS in 2019/20 |





| 4. Communication (cont'd) | Yes/No | Notes |
|---|--------|---|
| What proportion of complaint responses are sent within Code timescales? - Stage one - Stage one (with extension) - Stage two - Stage two (with extension) | - | In 2019/20: Stage 1 – 10 days = 75% Stage 1 – 10 + 10-day extension = 20% Stage 2 – 20 days = 94% Stage 2 – 20 + 10-day extension = 6% Target times are currently a response at stage one within 5 days and stage two within 10 days |
| Where timescales have been extended did we have good reason? | Yes | The reason for extensions is to achieve a resolution |
| Where timescales have been extended did we keep the resident informed? | Yes | In line with the Customer Feedback Policy and complaints handling training |
| What proportion of complaints do we resolve to residents' satisfaction? | 50% | 50% of customers surveyed in 2019/20 were satisfied with the resolution to their complaint. Satisfaction surveying will be strengthened in with the introduction of specialist feedback software. |
| 5. Co-operation with the Housing Ombudsman Service | Yes/No | Notes |
| Were all requests for evidence responded to within 15 days? | Yes | |
| Where the timescale was extended did we keep the Ombudsman informed? | Yes | |





| 6. Fairness in complaint handling | Yes/No | Notes |
|---|--------|--|
| Are residents able to complain via a representative throughout? | Yes | |
| If advice was given, was this accurate and easy to understand? | Yes | Documentary evidence available from Orchard |
| How many cases did we refuse to escalate? What was the reason for the refusal? | | None |
| Did we explain our decision to the resident? | | N/A |
| 7. Outcomes & remedies | Yes/No | Notes |
| Where something has gone wrong are we taking appropriate steps to put things right? | Yes | Regularly reviewed by the internal Complaints Panel |
| 8. Continuous learning and improvement | Yes/No | Notes |
| What improvements have we made as a result of learning from complaints? | | Key improvements in 2019/20 include: an increased focus on getting repairs completed at the first visit; introduced a text satisfaction survey for repairs; changed how we delivered home improvement works; and fed into the new Service Promises |





| 8. Continuous learning and improvement (cont'd) | Yes/No | Notes |
|---|--------|--|
| How do we share these lessons with: a) residents? b) the board/governing body? c) In the Annual Report? | | a) Through the website and scrutinised by involved customers b) An annual Board report and quarterly updates c) In the Customer Annual Report |
| Has the Code made a difference to how we respond to complaints? What changes have we made? | Yes | Taken the opportunity to review and strengthen the Customer Feedback Policy & complaints handling procedure, and communicate this across the business and to involved customers. |



