

Comparing Cardiac Volume Measurement Modalities

How to determine the ideal volume measurement technique for heart hemodynamic studies.

There are a variety of techniques which can be used to determine common hemodynamic parameters in the research setting. Choosing the best method requires careful consideration of both the technology and the experimental protocol. Four well-established cardiac volume measurement techniques (echocardiography, pressure-volume catheterization, computer tomography (CT) and cardiac magnetic resonance (CMR)) are compared below. Advantages of the Pressure-Volume Catheter method include the relatively low start-up and maintenance costs, and the ability to measure both load dependent and load independent parameters including contractility.

| | ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY (TRANSTHORACIC) | PV CATHETER (ADMITTANCE) | CARDIAC COMPUTER TOMOGRAPHY (CT) | CARDIAC MAGNETIC RESONANCE (CMR) |
|---|---|--|--|--|
| Required hardware | Echo unit & probes | PV unit & catheters | Sectional X-ray for computer reconstruction | Common CMR magnets (6.3-7 Tesla) |
| Specialized technician | Often, but not always | No | Yes in most US states | Yes in most US states |
| Portability | Unit on wheels | Light & portable | Heavy & stationary | Not portable |
| Measurement technology | Sound waves | Admittance via tetra-polar catheter | Radiation transmission through tissue | Magnetic properties of tissue |
| Use of radiation | No | No | Ionizing radiation | No |
| Specifically designed cradles for animals | No | No | Yes | Yes |
| Examination time | 5 - 10 min | 30 min | 20 - 30 min | 1 - 3 hrs |
| Use in publications | Very common | Increasingly common | Common | Moderately common |
| Spatial resolution (axial, lateral) | 50 μm^2 (2D echo) | Not applicable | 100 μm^3 (3D) microCT | 200-300 μm^2 (in plane); 1 mm thick |
| Temporal resolution | Low | Very high, captures live transient events | Low | High |
| Contrast resolution | Limited | Not applicable | High | Very high |
| Signal to noise ratio | Acceptable | Acceptable | High | Acceptable |
| Common artifacts (streaks & blur) | Caused by breathing (need synchronization) can be limited by cardiac gating | Caused by breathing, can be controlled by a ventilator | Caused by breathing (need synchronization), can be limited by cardiac gating | Caused by breathing (need synchronization), can be limited by cardiac gating |
| Model-based estimation (geometric) | Volumetry relies on geometric assumptions | PV system corrects geometric assumptions live | Volumetry relies on geometric assumptions | Volumetry relies on geometric assumptions |

Comparing Cardiac Volume Measurement Modalities Cont.

| | ECHOCARDIOGRAPHY (TRANSTHORACIC) | PV CATHETER (ADMITTANCE) | CARDIAC COMPUTER TOMOGRAPHY (CT) | CARDIAC MAGNETIC RESONANCE (CMR) |
|--|--|--|---|---|
| Reproducibility of volume data | Good | Good | Poor | Poor |
| Real time/ post processing | Volume calculations are based on geometric formulas. Need post-processing | Volume calculations are done in Real-Time. DO NOT need post processing | Volume calculations are based on geometric formulas. Need post processing | Volume calculations are based on geometric formulas. Need post processing |
| ECG Gating | Reconstruction of images based on gating | Not necessary to use cardiac gating | Reconstruction of images based on gating | Limited by cardiac ECG triggering, respiratory gating |
| Respiratory Gating | Compulsory | Optional | Compulsory | Compulsory |
| Inter-user variability | High | Very Low | High | High |
| Versatility/ Other information from scan | Real-time, increases with use of Doppler or 3D echo | Collects multiple parameters (ESPVR, EDPVR, PVA, PRSW, Et, Ea, dp/dt min/ max) | Quantitative measure of tissue density can be used to examine myocardial viability. Ability to produce 3D | High tissue contrast, No contrast agent necessary, ability to acquire 3D |
| Accuracy of volume estimate | Usually over estimates EDV based on histomorphometry | Usually good EDV estimation based on histomorphometry | Usually over estimates EDV based on histomorphometry | Usually over estimates EDV based on histomorphometry |
| Contractility | Basic (EF%, FS%); load dependent | Excellent with IVC occlusion; load independent | Basic (EF%, FS%); load dependent | Basic (EF%, FS%); load dependent |
| Preload/ Afterload detection | Difficult (probe positioning) | Excellent with IVC occlusion | Difficult | Difficult |
| Invasiveness | Moderate | High | Moderate | Moderate |
| Longitudinal studies | Yes | No | Yes | Yes |
| Initial price of system | High | Low | High | High |
| Price for experiment | Low | Moderate | High (rental & technician fees) | Very High (rental & longer tech time) |
| Maintenance costs | Low | Low | High | Very high |
| Application fields | Cardiovascular (cavity size, valve function etc.), Fluid around heart (pericardial effusion) Cancer (chest tumor biology etc.), Developmental biology (CV morphogenesis), Gene therapy (cardiac sonoporation). | Cardiovascular (left and right cavitory pressure-volume, resistance in the lungs, cardiovascular pressure-volume coupling, cardiac elastance) Isolated working heart (left ventricle pressure-volume). | Cardiovascular (cavity size, valve function, coronary artery calcification etc.), Cancer (chest tumor biology), Developmental biology (CV morphogenesis). | Cardiovascular (cavity size, valve function etc.), Fluid around heart (pericardial effusion) Cancer (chest tumor biology etc.), Developmental biology (CV morphogenesis). |